The knee of the proton spectrum Measured by using a hybrid experiment at 4300 m a.s.l. LHAASO for CRs

> Zhen Cao, IHEP, Beijing for the ARGO-YBJ Collaboration & the LHAASO Collaboration

High Altitude Workshop, May, 2014, APC, Paris

Outline

 The Motivation of the Hybrid Experiment with WFCTA Prototype and the ARGO-YBJ RPC Carpet

- Efficiency and the Observation
- The Performance of the Hybrid Experiment
 - Data vs. Simulation
 - Selection of H&He from All CR Showers
 - Aperture for H&He Detection and the Contamination
 - Energy reconstruction and its Resolution
- The H&He Spectrum
- The knee of proton spectrum
- LHAASO on CRs and status

Motivation

- Highly unknown "knee"-mechanism
- Too many assumptions, too many "measurements"!
 Major tension is between KASCADE and CASA/MIA



KASCADE vs. CASA-MIA



Motivation

- Aim: To bridge between balloon borne measurements and ground based experiments for crosscalibration between the experiments.
- CREAM: energy spectrum of single element up to 100TeV
- > ARGO-YBJ (H&He): 7TeV-200TeV
- AMSo2 further confirmed the energy scale
- This work is to extend the ARGO-YBJ results to higher energies





Wide Field of View Cherenkov Telescope (WFCTA)
> 5m² spherical mirror;
> 16×16 PMT array
> Pixel size 1°;
> FOV: 14° × 16°;
> Elevation angle: 60°.

One of Cherenkov event







Hybrid Measurement

ARGO-YBJ: lateral distribution

- In the core region ightarrow mass sensitive

Cherenkov Telescope: longitudinal information

- Hillas parameter ightarrow mass sensitive

Good energy resolution





CR measurement of the hybrid experiment

Extensive air showers

- Corsika6735: QGSJETII-03+GHEISHA
- All primary particles in 5 groups: H, He, CNO, MgAlSi, Fe 1:1:1:1:1
- Energy range: 10 TeV 10PeV
- Geometry: θ~20° 42 °, φ~69 ° -111 °, Core: ±150 m

Detector simulation

- Cherenkov telescope: Ray tracing for every photons in shower images
- RPC-carpet: GEANT-4 based program, G4argo



Hybrid Observation and Data Set

Period:

- From 2010.12 ~ 2012.02: Coincidence events;
- Good weather: 728,000 sec

Criteria for reconstruction quality

- Cores must be inside the ARGO carpet, cannot in the PRCs on the edges
- Cherenkov images must fully contained in the telescope, i.e. space angle < 6 ° respect to the axis of the telescope & the number of fired tubes >= 6

Cherenkov image cleaning

- Single channel threshold: S/N>3.5;
- Arrival time: all triggered pixel must be in a window of $\Delta t=240$ ns;
- Isolated pixels must be rejected

8218 events are well reconstructed above 100 TeV

Comparison between Data and MC

 Total number photo-electrons in shower images for shower energy measurement



Comparison between Data and MC

- Zenith angle of the shower arrival direction
- The angular resolution of the arrival direction is 0.3°



Comparison between Data and MC

- The impact parameter of shower respect to the telescope
- The spatial resolution of the shower core position is 2 m



H&He Selection

Most-hit-RPC at the core of a shower



H&He Selection

 Elongation of the shower image L/W ~ 0.09(R_p/10m)



Multi-parameter Analysis

- $p_L = N_{\text{max}} 1.44 \log_{10}(E_{\text{rec}}/1TeV)$
- $p_c = L/W 0.091 \times (R_p/10m) 0.14/og_{10}(E_{rec}/1TeV)$



Aperture and contamination



The contamination of heavy nuclei is 2.3% below 700 TeV
 Selecting efficiency is ~ 30%

> The ratio between H and He is 1:0.39

Energy reconstruction Using ΣN_{pe} in shower image

Look-up table: light components only

- Impact parameter (R_p): 5m/bin
- LogN_{pe} : 0.1/bin
- R_p: linear interpolation between bins
- N_{pe} : Quadratic interpolation between bins



log10(Energy/TeV)





Final H&He Data Set

827000 seconds good weather data,

$log E_{min}$ - $log E_{max}$ (TeV)	2.00-	2.15-	2.30-	2.45-	2.60-	2.75-
	2.15	2.30	2.45	2.60	2.75	2.90
# of events	565	371	227	121	69	39

- The contamination of heavier nuclei is model dependent
 - 1:1:1:1, 5.1%
 - Horandel, 2.3%
 - H4A, <2%



CREAM: 1.09x1.95x10⁻¹¹(E/400TeV)^{-2.62} ARGO-YBJ: 1.95x10⁻¹¹(E/400TeV)^{-2.61} Hybrid: 0.94x1.95x10⁻¹¹(E/400TeV)^{-2.62}



Photometric Calibration of the Cherenkov Telescopes

- A probe is calibrated by comparing with a HPD (calibrated by NIST) at the HiRes lab in Utah;
- An UV LED mounted at the center of the mirror is calibrated by the probe many times during the data taking;
- The PMT camera is calibrated by the UV LED every day.
- The systematic uncertainty of the calibration constant : \sim 7%.

0.5

The probe





Systematic Uncertainty (1)

Energy determination uncertainty ~9.7%

- Calibration 5.6%
- Weather condition 7.6%

(include mirror reflectivity and glass window transmission)

- Method of energy reconstruction <1.2%
- High energy hadronic interaction model <1.0%
 (QGSJET II-03 vs. SIBYLL2.1)
- Low energy hadronic interaction model (GHEISHA vs. FLUKA) <2.0%

Systematic Uncertainty (2)

Selection efficiency uncertainty:

- QGSJET II-03 vs. SIBYLL2.1 <1.0%
 - GHEISHA vs. FLUKA <3.5%
 - Reconstruction Quality Cuts <3.0%
- Calib. of the analog read-out of RPC ~7.0%
 - The composition model by Horandel is compared with H4A by Gaisser or an extrapolation of CREAM data

• The uncertainty due to composition ~6.0% model: ~ 6% on flux below 700 TeV.

• If some extreme models are used, such as Proton dominant or Fe dominant, the uncertainty can be as large as 14%

~14.0%

10.3 or 16.3%

Further Analysis: Optimizing for Statistics at High Energies





By loosening the criteria on H & He selection, the aperture

is enlarged by a factor of 2.4, selecting efficiency ~ 72%

- # of H & He events increases from 490 to 1231 above 200 TeV
- The contamination of heavy species increases from 2.3% to 7.2% below 700 TeV
- > The ratio between H and He increases from 1:0.39 to 1:0.8

Discover the "knee" of the Proton Spectrum below 1 PeV

~6 σ deviation from the single-index power law the knee is at (640 \pm 87) TeV spectral index is >3.3 above the knee



Most CR acceleration models have problems to produce the low energy knee of the proton spectrum!

• For instance, Horandel and H4A by Gaisser et. al



J.R. Horandel, APP, 21, 241 (2004)

T.K. Gaisser et al., APP,35, 801 (2012)

Summary

- From 2 TeV to ~700 TeV, three measurements for the H&He spectrum by CREAM, ARGO-YBJ-digital and the Hybrid of ARGO-YBJ-analog and C-telescope agree well.
- They all fitted very well with single-index-power-law function form. The index is 2.62±0.01 &

The flux is **1.95x10⁻¹¹ +9%\-6%** (GeV ⁻¹m⁻²sr⁻¹ s⁻¹)at 400TeV

- The difference in flux can be interpreted due to a difference of energy scale $\pm 3.5\%$ between experiments
- The knee of the p-spectrum is discovered at (640 \pm 87) TeV
- ~6σ deviation from the single-index power law
- Spectral index is >3.3 above the knee

LHAASO: Prospects of CR Physics

- 30TeV-10PeV
 - Energy scale
 - Knees for *H,He,...*
 - Anisotropy
- 10PeV-100PeV
 - Composition
 - Energy spectrum: I
- 100PeV-2EeV
 - Spectrum bending and composition changing
 - Transition from galactic to extra-galactic



- The difficulty is to select individual species from are showers
- Event by event fluctuations and little recorded info

• Solution: measuring more info about the showers







Central Array:

24 Wide field View Cherenkov telescopes: precision measurement of CR pectrum 452 burst detectors: identification of primary CR species Plus scintillator detectors every 15 m and μ-detectors every 30 m



地理位置: S217穿过海子山自然保护区中间地带 北纬29°21'30.7", 东经100°08'14.65" 海拔4400米





稻城 (3740m) 40公里

稻城海子山LHAASO站址







International Collaboration

- Physicists (IPN-Orsay)
 - Yingtao Chen (PhD student funded by CSC), Olivier Deligny, Isabelle Lhenry-Yvon, Tiina Suomijärvi, Francesco Salamida (post-doc)
 - New PhD student, Zizhao Zong, currently applying funds from CSC
- Technical group
 - Valérie Chambert, Bengyun Ky, Emmanuel Rauly, Thi Nguyen Trung, Eric Wanlin (IPN-Orsay)
 - Gisèle Martin-Chassard, Frederic Dulucq, Christophe de la Taille (OMEGA)
- CAS Project for the China-France collaboration is approved (1.1 M CNY) this year



- Proposing with Italian colleagues: G-astro, GRBs, DM, CRs (submitting to INFN)
- Working group with Russian for neutron detectors
- Thailand solar CR group (working together)

Conclusions

- LHAASO observatory
 - Provides also crucial CR data in the region of knees
- LHAASO has been selected for funding in China.
- Agreement with Sichuan province for site is scheduled to be signed on June 5th
- Domestic collaboration: for 25 sub-systems
- International Coll. is growing

Thanks for your attention!

Generated H&He spectrum and its reconstruction

- Ivan required test on the generated H&He spectrum.
- Events are generated with a single-index-power-law (γ =-2.7) as represented by black dots. Spread over an area of 260mX260m, 22°X21° in the sky near θ =30°
- Corsika generates showers, G4ARGO generates RPC signals and ray-tracing procedure generates telescope images.
- Reconstruct and analyze them as what has been done on data. The "measured" spectrum is represented by the blue squares.



Generated H&He spectrum and its reconstruction

- Beyond Ivan required test on the generated H&He spectrum with bending.
- Events are generated with a double-index-power-law (γ =-2.7/3.4, E_k=700TeV) as represented by black dots. Spread over an area of 260mX260m, 22°X21° in the sky near θ =30°
- Corsika generates showers, G4ARGO generates RPC signals and ray-tracing procedure generates telescope images.
- Reconstruct and analyze them as what has been done on data. The "measured" spectrum is represented by the blue squares.



Bayesian Unfolding

> To take into account any kind of smearing between bins due to the finite resolution of ~25%, the Bayesian method is applied to the observed energy distribution $P(E_{rec})$.

 $\mathbf{P}(E^{i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{P}(E^{i} | E^{j}_{rec}) \cdot \mathbf{P}(E^{j}_{rec})$ $\mathbf{P}(E^{i}|E^{j}_{rec}) = \mathbf{P}(E^{j}_{rec} | E^{i}) \cdot \mathbf{P}(E^{i}) / \sum_{l=1}^{m} \mathbf{P}(E^{j}_{rec} | E^{l}) \cdot \mathbf{P}(E^{l}).$ $P(E_{rec} | E)$ is from Monte Carlo simulation $P(E^{i}) = N(E^{i}) / \sum E' P(E_{rec}^{j}) = N(E_{rec}^{j}) / N_{sel}$ Unfold Response Red: MC-rec 10³ Blue: MC-real Black: Unfolding 10² 10 1 10⁻¹ 2.5 3.5 1.5 2 3

Energy scale: Moon Shadow displacement

 $N \approx 21 \cdot (E_{TeV}/Z)^{1.5}$

1 – 30 (TeV/Z)

Two systematic uncertainties may affect the Multiplicity-Energy relation:

- the assumed primary CR chemical composition (7%)
- the uncertainties of different hadronic models (6%)



The energy scale error is estimated to be smaller than 13% in the energy range 1 - 30 (TeV/Z).

Phys.Rev.D84:022003,2011